Management Tools 2011
An Executive's Guide

Darrell K. Rigby

BAIN & COMPANY

Management Tools 2011

An Executive's Guide

Darrell K. Rigby

www.bain.com

BAIN & COMPANY

Bain's business is helping make companies more valuable.

Founded in 1973 on the principle that consultants must measure their success in terms of their clients' financial results, Bain works with top management teams to beat their competitors and generate substantial, lasting financial impact. Our clients have historically outperformed the stock market by 4:1.

Who we work with

Our clients are typically bold, ambitious business leaders. They have the talent, the will, and the open-mindedness required to succeed. They are not satisfied with the status quo.

What we do

We help companies find where to make their money, make more of it faster, and sustain its growth longer. We help management make the big decisions: on strategy, operations, technology, mergers and acquisitions, and organization. Where appropriate, we work with them to make it happen.

How we do it

We realize that helping an organization change requires more than just a recommendation. So we try to put ourselves in our clients' shoes and focus on practical actions.

For more information please visit www.bain.com or contact any of our offices.

BAIN & COMPANY

North and South American Offices

Bain & Company, Inc.
3280 Peachtree Road NE, Suite 2400
Atlanta, Georgia 30305 USA
tel: 1 404 869 2727

Bain & Company, Inc.
131 Dartmouth Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02116 USA
tel: 1 617 572 2000

Bain & Company Argentina S.R.L.
Av del Libertador 602, 26th floor
C1001 ABT Buenos Aires, Argentina
tel: 54 11 4510 2600

Bain & Company, Inc.
190 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3400
Chicago, Illinois 60603 USA
tel: 1 312 541 9500

Bain & Company, Inc.
5215 North O'Connor Boulevard, Suite 500
Irving (Dallas), Texas 75039 USA
tel: 1 972 869 2929

Bain & Company, Inc.
One Houston Center, Suite 3650
1221 McKinney Street
Houston, Texas 77010
tel: 1 713 469 4600

Bain & Company, Inc.
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2000
Los Angeles, California 90067 USA
tel: 1 310 229 3000

Bain & Company Mexico, Inc.
Corporativo Arcos Oriente
Bosque de Alisos # 47-B, 4th Floor
Col. Bosques de las Lomas
Mexico, D.F. 05120 Mexico
tel: 52 55 5267 1700

Bain & Company, Inc.
Three Times Square, 25th Floor
New York, New York 10036 USA
tel: 1 646 562 8000

Bain & Company, Inc.
Two Palo Alto Square
Palo Alto, California 94306 USA
tel: 1 650 845 3600

Bain & Company, Inc.
One Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, California 94111 USA
tel: 1 415 627 1000

Bain & Company Brazil, Inc.
Rua Olimpíadas, 205 - 12º andar
04551-000
São Paulo SP, Brazil
tel: 55 11 3707 1200

Bain & Company Canada, Inc.
2 Bloor Street East, 29th Floor
Toronto, Ontario M4W 1A8 Canada
tel: 1 416 929 1888

European and African Offices

Bain & Company Netherlands, LLC
Rembrandt Tower, 25th Floor, Amstelplein 1
1096 HA Amsterdam, The Netherlands
tel: 31 20 7107 900

Bain & Company Belgium, Inc.
Blue Tower, 24th Floor
Avenue Louise 326
1050 Brussels, Belgium
tel: 32 2 626 26 26

Bain & Company Nordic, Inc.
Bredgade 31A
1260 Copenhagen K, Denmark
tel: 45 33 41 03 00

Bain & Company Germany, Inc.
Mönchenwerther Str. 11
40545 Düsseldorf, Germany
tel: 49 211 42476 0

Bain & Company Germany, Inc.
Bockenheimer Landstrasse 24
60323 Frankfurt, Germany
tel: 49 69 667 778 0

Bain & Company Nordic, Inc.
Etelaesplanadi 22 A
FI-00130 Helsinki, Finland
tel: 358 9 6850 550

Bain & Company South Africa, Inc.
20 The Piazza
Melrose Arch
Johannesburg 2076, South Africa
tel: 27 11 012 9100

Bain & Company Ukraine, LLC
Renaissance Business Center
24 Vorovskogo Street
Kyiv, 01054, Ukraine
tel: 38 044 490 70 10

Bain & Company Inc. United Kingdom
40 Strand
London WC2N 5RW, England
United Kingdom
tel: 44 20 7969 6000

Bain & Company Ibérica, Inc.
Edificio Puerta de Europa,Torre Este
Paseo de la Castellana, 216
28046 Madrid, Spain
tel: 34 91 790 30 00

Bain & Company Italy, Inc.
Via Crocefisso, 10, 20122 Milan, Italy
tel: 39 02 58288 1

Bain & Company Russia, LLC
Lotte Plaza Business Center, 15th Floor
8 Novinsky Boulevard
Moscow, 121099, Russia
tel: 7 495 721 86 86

Bain & Company Germany, Inc.
Karlsplatz 1, 80335
Munich, Germany
tel: 49 89 5123 0

Bain & Company Nordic, Inc.
Dronning Mauds gate 1
0250 Oslo, Norway
tel: 47 22 01 82 00

Bain et Compagnie
50 avenue Montaigne, 75008
Paris, France
tel: 33 1 44 55 75 75

Bain & Company Italy, Inc.
Piazza Ungheria, 6
00198 Rome, Italy
tel: 39 06 8525 01

Bain & Company Nordic, Inc.
Regeringsgatan 38, 6th Floor
S-111 56 Stockholm, Sweden
tel: 46 8 4125 400

Bain & Company Switzerland, Inc.
Rotbuchstrasse 46
8037 Zurich, Switzerland
tel: 41 44 3608 600

Asia-Pacific Offices

Bain & Company China, Inc.
Unit 2407-09, Office Tower 2
China Central Place, No. 79, Jianguo Road
Chaoyang District, Beijing 100025
P.R. China
tel: 86 10 6533 1199

Bain & Company Middle East Inc.
Media One Tower, Level 35
PO Box 502810
Dubai, United Arab Emirates
tel: 971 4 365 7 365

Bain & Company India Private, Ltd.
5th Floor, Building 8, Tower A
DLF Cyber City, Phase II
Gurgaon, Haryana, 122 002, India
tel: 91 124 454 1800

Bain & Company (Hong Kong)
30/F, One International Finance Centre
1 Harbour View Street, Central
Hong Kong
tel: 852 2978 8800

Bain & Company, Inc.
Rialto Tower South, Level 52
525 Collins Street
Melbourne, VIC 3000, Australia
tel: 61 3 8614 8000

Bain & Company India Pvt. Ltd.
Platina, 2nd Floor, Plot No. C-59
G Block, Bandra Kurla Complex
Mumbai 400051, India
tel: 91 22 4233 2600

Bain & Company Korea, Inc.
KorCham Building 10th Floor
45 Namdaemunno 4-ga, Jung-gu
Seoul, 100-743, Korea
tel: 82 2 6320 9300

Bain & Company China, Inc.
31F, 2 Plaza 66
No. 1366 West Nanjing Road
Jing'An District, Shanghai 200040
P.R. China
tel: 86 21 2211 5588

Bain & Company SE Asia, Inc.
8 Shenton Way, Level 50
Singapore 068811, Singapore
tel: 65 6222 0123

Bain & Company, Inc.
126 Phillip Street, Level 22
Sydney, New South Wales 2000
Australia
tel: 61 2 9024 8600

Bain & Company Japan, Inc.
Marunouchi Trust Tower Main
16th Floor 1-8-3 Marunouchi
Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-0005, Japan
tel: 81 3 6267 4800

Table of Contents

Table of Contents *continued*

Preface

Over the past three decades, management tools have become a common part of executives' lives. Whether trying to boost revenues, innovate, improve quality, increase efficiencies or plan for the future, executives have looked for tools to help them. The current environment of globalization and economic turbulence has increased the challenges executives face and, therefore, the need to find the right tools to meet these challenges.

To do this successfully, executives must be more knowledgeable than ever as they sort through the options and select the right management tools for their companies. The selection process itself can be as complicated as the business issues they need to solve. They must choose the tools that will best help them make the business decisions that lead to enhanced processes, products and services—and result in superior performance and profits.

Successful use of such tools requires an understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of each tool as well as an ability to creatively integrate the right tools, in the right way, at the right time. The secret is not in discovering one magic device, but in learning which mechanism to use, and how and when to use it. In the absence of objective data, groundless hype makes choosing and using management tools a dangerous game of chance. To help inform managers about the tools available to them, in 1993 Bain & Company launched a multiyear research project to gather facts about the use and performance of management tools. Our objective was to provide managers with:

- An understanding of how their current application of these tools and subsequent results compare with those of other organizations across industries and around the globe;
- The information they need to identify, select, implement and integrate the optimal tools to improve their company's performance.

Every year or two since, we've conducted research to identify 25 of the most popular and pertinent management tools. In this guide, we've defined the tools and how they are used. We determine through our research the extent to which each tool is being deployed and its rate of success. We also conduct one-on-one follow-up interviews to learn the circumstances in which each tool is most likely to produce the desired results.

Over time, our research has provided a number of important insights. Among them:

- Overall satisfaction with tools is moderately positive, but the rates of usage, ease of implementation, effectiveness, strengths and weaknesses vary widely;
- Management tools are much more effective when they are part of a major organizational effort;
- Managers who switch from tool to tool undermine employees' confidence;
- Decision makers achieve better results by championing realistic strategies and viewing tools simply as a means to a strategic goal;
- No tool is a cure-all.

We also found other important trends from the 2009 survey:

- Nearly all executives believe innovation is vital to their company's success, but few feel they have learned to harness its power effectively;
- Many executives have serious concerns about how their organizations gather customer insights and manage decision making.

Detailed results from the 2009 Management Tools & Trends survey are available at www.bain.com/tools.

Our efforts to understand the continually evolving management tools landscape have led us to add four new tools to this year's guide: Change Management Programs, Enterprise Risk Management, Rapid Prototyping and Social Media Programs. Change Management Programs and Enterprise Risk Management are not new tools, but managers may find them more relevant in the current economic environment. Social Media has grown rapidly and we look forward to understanding how companies are using it, and whether they believe it is an effective business tool for improving results.

We hope that you will find this reference guide a useful tool in itself. The insights from this year's global survey and field interviews will be published separately. Survey results may be obtained by contacting:

Darrell Rigby, Director
Bain & Company, Inc.
131 Dartmouth Street, Boston, MA 02116
tel: 617 572 2771 fax: 617 572 2427
email: darrell.rigby@bain.com

Balanced Scorecard

Related topics

- Management by Objectives
- Mission and Vision Statements
- Pay for Performance
- Strategic Balance Sheet

Description

A Balanced Scorecard defines what management means by "performance" and measures whether management is achieving desired results. The Balanced Scorecard translates Mission and Vision Statements into a comprehensive set of objectives and performance measures that can be quantified and appraised. These measures typically include the following categories of performance:

- Financial performance (revenues, earnings, return on capital, cash flow);
- Customer value performance (market share, customer satisfaction measures, customer loyalty);
- Internal business process performance (productivity rates, quality measures, timeliness);
- Innovation performance (percent of revenue from new products, employee suggestions, rate of improvement index);
- Employee performance (morale, knowledge, turnover, use of best demonstrated practices).

Methodology

To construct and implement a Balanced Scorecard, managers should:

- Articulate the business's vision and strategy;
- Identify the performance categories that best link the business's vision and strategy to its results (e.g., financial performance, operations, innovation, employee performance);
- Establish objectives that support the business's vision and strategy;
- Develop effective measures and meaningful standards, establishing both short-term milestones and long-term targets;
- Ensure companywide acceptance of the measures;
- Create appropriate budgeting, tracking, communication and reward systems;
- Collect and analyze performance data and compare actual results with desired performance;
- Take action to close unfavorable gaps.

Common uses	A Balanced Scorecard is used to:
	• Clarify or update a business's strategy;
	• Link strategic objectives to long-term targets and annual budgets;
	• Track the key elements of the business strategy;
	• Incorporate strategic objectives into resource allocation processes;
	• Facilitate organizational change;
	• Compare performance of geographically diverse business units;
	• Increase companywide understanding of the corporate vision and strategy.

Selected references	Epstein, Marc, and Jean-François Manzoni. "Implementing Corporate Strategy: From Tableaux de Bord to Balanced Scorecards." *European Management Journal*, April 1998, pp. 190–203.
	"Harvard Business Review Balanced Scorecard Report." *Harvard Business Review*, 2002 to present (bimonthly).
	Kaplan, Robert S., and David P. Norton. *Alignment: Using the Balanced Scorecard to Create Corporate Synergies*. Harvard Business School Press, 2006.
	Kaplan, Robert S., and David P. Norton. "The Balanced Scorecard: Measures That Drive Performance." *Harvard Business Review*, July 2005, pp. 71–79.
	Kaplan, Robert S., and David P. Norton. *The Strategy-Focused Organization: How Balanced Scorecard Companies Thrive in the New Business Environment*. Harvard Business School Press, 2000.
	Kaplan, Robert S., and David P. Norton. *Strategy Maps: Converting Intangible Assets into Tangible Outcomes*. Harvard Business School Press, 2004.
	Niven, Paul R. *Balanced Scorecard Diagnostics: Maintaining Maximum Performance*. John Wiley & Sons, 2005.
	Niven, Paul R. *Balanced Scorecard Step-by-Step: Maximizing Performance and Maintaining Results*, 2d ed. John Wiley & Sons, 2006.

Benchmarking

Related topics

- Best Demonstrated Practices
- Competitor Profiles

Description

Benchmarking improves performance by identifying and applying best demonstrated practices to operations and sales. Managers compare the performance of their products or processes externally with those of competitors and best-in-class companies and internally with other operations within their own firms that perform similar activities. The objective of Benchmarking is to find examples of superior performance and to understand the processes and practices driving that performance. Companies then improve their performance by tailoring and incorporating these best practices into their own operations—not by imitating, but by innovating.

Methodology

Benchmarking involves the following steps:

- Select a product, service or process to benchmark;
- Identify the key performance metrics;
- Choose companies or internal areas to benchmark;
- Collect data on performance and practices;
- Analyze the data and identify opportunities for improvement;
- Adapt and implement the best practices, setting reasonable goals and ensuring companywide acceptance.

Common uses

Companies use Benchmarking to:

- *Improve performance*. Benchmarking identifies methods of improving operational efficiency and product design;
- *Understand relative cost position*. Benchmarking reveals a company's relative cost position and identifies opportunities for improvement;
- *Gain strategic advantage*. Benchmarking helps companies focus on capabilities critical to building strategic advantage;
- *Increase the rate of organizational learning*. Benchmarking brings new ideas into the company and facilitates experience sharing.

Selected references

American Productivity and Quality Center. www.apqc.org.

Bogan, Christopher E., and Michael J. English. *Benchmarking for Best Practices: Winning Through Innovative Adaptation*. McGraw-Hill, 1994.

Boxwell, Robert J., Jr. *Benchmarking for Competitive Advantage*. McGraw-Hill, 1994.

Camp, Robert C. *Benchmarking: The Search for Industry Best Practices That Lead to Superior Performance*. Productivity Press, 2006.

Camp, Robert C. *Business Process Benchmarking: Finding and Implementing Best Practices*. American Society for Quality, 1995.

Coers, Mardi, Chris Gardner, Lisa Higgins, and Cynthia Raybourn. *Benchmarking: A Guide for Your Journey to Best-Practice Processes*. American Productivity and Quality Center, 2001.

Czarnecki, Mark T. *Managing by Measuring: How to Improve Your Organization's Performance Through Effective Benchmarking*. AMACOM, 1999.

Denrell, Jerker. "Selection Bias and the Perils of Benchmarking." *Harvard Business Review*, April 2005, pp. 114–119.

Harrington, H. James. *The Complete Benchmarking Implementation Guide: Total Benchmarking Management*. McGraw-Hill, 1996.

Iacobucci, Dawn, and Christie Nordhielm. "Creative Benchmarking." *Harvard Business Review*, November/December 2000, pp. 24–25.

Reider, Rob. *Benchmarking Strategies: A Tool for Profit Improvement*. John Wiley & Sons, 2000.

Stauffer, David. "Is Your Benchmarking Doing the Right Work?" *Harvard Management Update*, September 2003, pp. 1–4.

Zairi, Mohamed. *Benchmarking for Best Practice: Continuous Learning Through Sustainable Innovation*. Butterworth-Heinemann, 1998.

Business Process Reengineering

Related topics

- Cycle-Time Reduction
- Horizontal Organizations
- Overhead-Value Analysis
- Process Redesign

Description

Business Process Reengineering involves the radical redesign of core business processes to achieve dramatic improvements in productivity, cycle times and quality. In Business Process Reengineering, companies start with a blank sheet of paper and rethink existing processes to deliver more value to the customer. They typically adopt a new value system that places increased emphasis on customer needs. Companies reduce organizational layers and eliminate unproductive activities in two key areas. First, they redesign functional organizations into cross-functional teams. Second, they use technology to improve data dissemination and decision making.

Methodology

Business Process Reengineering is a dramatic change initiative that contains five major steps. Managers should:

- Refocus company values on customer needs;
- Redesign core processes, often using information technology to enable improvements;
- Reorganize a business into cross-functional teams with end-to-end responsibility for a process;
- Rethink basic organizational and people issues;
- Improve business processes across the organization.

Common uses

Companies use Business Process Reengineering to improve performance substantially on key processes that impact customers. Business Process Reengineering can:

- *Reduce costs and cycle time*. Business Process Reengineering reduces costs and cycle times by eliminating unproductive activities and the employees who perform them. Reorganization by teams decreases the need for management layers, accelerates information flows and eliminates the errors and rework caused by multiple handoffs;
- *Improve quality*. Business Process Reengineering improves quality by reducing the fragmentation of work and establishing clear ownership of processes. Workers gain responsibility for their output and can measure their performance based on prompt feedback.

Selected references

Al-Mashari, Majed, Zahir Irani, and Mohamed Zairi. "Business Process Reengineering: A Survey of International Experience." *Business Process Management Journal*, December 2001, pp. 437–455.

Carr, David K., and Henry J. Johansson. *Best Practices in Reengineering: What Works and What Doesn't in the Reengineering Process.* McGraw-Hill, 1995.

Champy, James. *Reengineering Management: The Mandate for New Leadership.* HarperBusiness, 1995.

Davenport, Thomas H. *Process Innovation: Reengineering Work Through Information Technology.* Harvard Business School Press, 1992.

Frame, J. Davidson. *The New Project Management: Tools for an Age of Rapid Change, Complexity, and Other Business Realities.* Jossey-Bass, 2002.

Grover, Varun, and Manuj K. Malhotra. "Business Process Reengineering: A Tutorial on the Concept, Evolution, Method, Technology and Application." *Journal of Operations Management*, August 1997, pp. 193–213.

Hall, Gene, Jim Rosenthal, and Judy Wade. "How to Make Reengineering Really Work." *Harvard Business Review*, November/December 1993, pp. 119–131.

Hammer, Michael. *Beyond Reengineering: How the Process-Centered Organization Is Changing Our Work and Lives.* HarperCollins, 1997.

Hammer, Michael, and James Champy. *Reengineering the Corporation: A Manifesto for Business Revolution*, revised and updated. Collins, 2003.

Keen, Peter G.W. *The Process Edge: Creating Value Where It Counts.* Harvard Business School Press, 1997.

Sandberg, Kirsten D. "Reengineering Tries a Comeback— This Time for Growth, Not Just Cost Savings." *Harvard Management Update*, November 2001, pp. 3–6.

Change Management Programs

Related topics

- Cultural Transformation
- Organizational Change
- Process Redesign

Description

Change Management Programs enable companies to control the installation of new processes to improve the realization of business benefits. These programs involve devising change initiatives, generating organizational buy-in, implementing the initiatives as seamlessly as possible and generating a repeatable model for ensuring continued success in future change efforts. A Change Management Program allows leaders to help people succeed, showing where and when trouble is likely to occur and laying out a strategy for mitigating risks and monitoring progress.

Methodology

Change Management Programs require managers to:

- *Focus on results.* Maintain a goal-oriented mindset by establishing clear, non-negotiable goals and designing incentives to ensure these goals are met;
- *Identify and overcome barriers to change.* Companies identify employees most impacted and also work to predict, measure and manage the risk of change;
- *Repeatedly communicate simple, powerful messages to employees.* In times of change, leaders alter communication frequency and methods to manage how a shaken workforce perceives and reacts to information:
  - *Ensure sponsorship throughout the organization.* To allow sponsorship to reach all levels of an organization, companies enlist multiple sponsors to provide all individuals with access to—and the influence of—a sponsor;
  - R*eorganize around decision making.* Companies develop a system for identifying, making and executing the most important decisions;
- *Continuously monitor progress.* Companies follow through and monitor the progress of each change initiative to tell if it is following the intended path or veering off course.

Common uses

Companies use a Change Management Program to:

- Implement major strategic initiatives to adapt to changes in markets, customer preferences, technologies or the competition's strategic plans;
- Align and focus an organization when going through a major turnaround;
- Implement new process initiatives.

Selected references

Axelrod, Richard H. *Terms of Engagement: Changing the Way We Change Organizations.* Berrett-Koehler Publishers, 2000.

Clark, Timothy R. *EPIC Change: How to Lead Change in the Global Age*. Jossey-Bass, 2008.

Harvard Business School. *Harvard Business Review on Leading Through Change.* Harvard Business Press, 2006.

Kotter, John P. *Leading Change.* Harvard Business Press, 1996.

Kotter, John P., and Dan S. Cohen, *The Heart of Change: Real-Life Stories of How People Change Their Organizations.* Harvard Business Press, 2002.

Lawler, Edward E. III, and Christopher P. Worley. *Built to Change: How to Achieve Sustained Organizational Effectiveness.* Jossey-Bass, 2006.

Core Competencies

Related topics	• Core Capabilities • Key Success Factors
Description	A Core Competency is a deep proficiency that enables a company to deliver unique value to customers. It embodies an organization's collective learning, particularly of how to coordinate diverse production skills and integrate multiple technologies. Such a Core Competency creates sustainable competitive advantage for a company and helps it branch into a wide variety of related markets. Core Competencies also contribute substantially to the benefits a company's products offer customers. The litmus test of a Core Competency? It's hard for competitors to copy or procure. Understanding Core Competencies allows companies to invest in the strengths that differentiate them and set strategies that unify their entire organization.
Methodology	To develop Core Competencies a company must: • Isolate its key abilities and hone them into organization-wide strengths; • Compare itself with other companies with the same skills to ensure that it is developing unique capabilities; • Develop an understanding of what capabilities its customers truly value, and invest accordingly to develop and sustain valued strengths; • Create an organizational road map that sets goals for competence building; • Pursue alliances, acquisitions and licensing arrangements that will further build the organization's strengths in core areas; • Encourage communication and involvement in core capability development across the organization; • Preserve core strengths even as management expands and redefines the business; • Outsource or divest noncore capabilities to free up resources that can be used to deepen core capabilities.
Common uses	Core Competencies capture the collective learning in an organization. They can be used to: • Design competitive positions and strategies that capitalize on corporate strengths;

- Unify the company across business units and functional units, and improve the transfer of knowledge and skills among them;
- Help employees understand management's priorities;
- Integrate the use of technology in carrying out business processes;
- Decide where to allocate resources;
- Make outsourcing, divestment and partnering decisions;
- Widen the domain in which the company innovates, and spawn new products and services;
- Invent new markets and quickly enter emerging markets;
- Enhance image and build customer loyalty.

Selected references

Alai, David, Diana Kramer, and Richard Montier. "Competency Models Develop Top Performance." *T + D*, July 2006, pp. 47–50.

Campbell, Andrew, and Kathleen Sommers-Luch. *Core Competency Based Strategy*. International Thompson Business Press, 1997.

Critelli, Michael J. "Back Where We Belong." *Harvard Business Review*, May 2005, pp. 47–54.

Drejer, Anders. *Strategic Management and Core Competencies: Theory and Applications*. Quorum Books, 2002.

Hamel, Gary, and C.K. Prahalad. *Competing for the Future*. Harvard Business School Press, 1994.

Prahalad, C.K., and Gary Hamel. "The Core Competence of the Corporation." *Harvard Business Review,* May 1990, pp. 79–91.

Quinn, James Brian. *Intelligent Enterprise*. Free Press, 1992.

Quinn, James Brian, and Frederick G. Hilmer. "Strategic Outsourcing." *Sloan Management Review*, Summer 1994, pp. 43–45.

Schoemaker, Paul J.H. "How to Link Strategic Vision to Core Capabilities." *Sloan Management Review*, Fall 1992, pp. 67–81.

Zook, Chris. "Finding Your Next Core Business." *Harvard Business Review*, April 2007, pp. 66–75.

Customer Relationship Management

Description

Customer Relationship Management (CRM) is a process companies use to understand their customer groups and respond quickly—and at times, instantly—to shifting customer desires. CRM technology allows firms to collect and manage large amounts of customer data and then carry out strategies based on that information. Data collected through focused CRM initiatives help firms solve specific problems throughout their customer relationship cycle—the chain of activities from the initial targeting of customers to efforts to win them back for more. CRM data also provide companies with important new insights into customers' needs and behaviors, allowing them to tailor products to targeted customer segments. Information gathered through CRM programs often generates solutions to problems outside a company's marketing functions, such as supply chain management and new product development.

Methodology

CRM requires managers to:

- Start by defining strategic "pain points" in the customer relationship cycle. These are problems that have a large impact on customer satisfaction and loyalty, where solutions would lead to superior financial rewards and competitive advantage;
- Evaluate whether—and what kind of—CRM data can fix those pain points. Calculate the value that such information would bring the company;
- Select the appropriate technology platform, and calculate the cost of implementing it and training employees to use it. Assess whether the benefits of the CRM information outweigh the expense involved;
- Design incentive programs to ensure that personnel are encouraged to participate in the CRM program. Many companies have discovered that realigning the organization away from product groups and toward a customer-centered structure improves the success of CRM;
- Measure CRM progress and impact. Aggressively monitor participation of key personnel in the CRM program. In addition, put measurement systems in place to track the

improvement in customer profitability with the use of CRM. Once the data are collected, share the information widely with employees to encourage further participation in the program.

Common uses

Companies can wield CRM to:

- Gather market research on customers, in real time if necessary;
- Generate more reliable sales forecasts;
- Coordinate information quickly between sales staff and customer support reps, increasing their effectiveness;
- Enable sales reps to see the financial impact of different product configurations before they set prices;
- Accurately gauge the return on individual promotional programs and the effect of integrated marketing activities, and redirect spending accordingly;
- Feed data on customer preferences and problems to product designers;
- Increase sales by systematically identifying and managing sales leads;
- Improve customer retention;
- Design effective customer service programs.

Selected references

Day, George S. "Which Way Should You Grow?" *Harvard Business Review*, July/August 2004, pp. 24–26.

Dyche, Jill. *The CRM Handbook: A Business Guide to Customer Relationship Management*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 2001.

Kumar, V., and Werner Reinartz. *Customer Relationship Management: A Databased Approach*. John Wiley & Sons, 2005.

Reichheld, Fred. *Loyalty Rules! How Leaders Build Lasting Relationships in the Digital Age*. Harvard Business School Press, 2001.

Reichheld, Fred, with Thomas Teal. *The Loyalty Effect: The Hidden Force Behind Growth, Profits, and Lasting Value*. Harvard Business School Press, 1996.

Rigby, Darrell K., and Dianne Ledingham. "CRM Done Right." *Harvard Business Review*, November 2004, pp. 118–129.

Rigby, Darrell K., Fred Reichheld, and Phil Schefter. "Avoid the Four Perils of CRM." *Harvard Business Review*, February 2002, pp. 101–109.

Customer Segmentation

Related topics

- Customer Surveys
- Market Segmentation
- One-to-One Marketing

Description

Customer Segmentation is the subdivision of a market into discrete customer groups that share similar characteristics. Customer Segmentation can be a powerful means to identify unmet customer needs. Companies that identify underserved segments can then outperform the competition by developing uniquely appealing products and services. Customer Segmentation is most effective when a company tailors offerings to segments that are the most profitable and serves them with distinct competitive advantages. This prioritization can help companies develop marketing campaigns and pricing strategies to extract maximum value from both high- and low-profit customers. A company can use Customer Segmentation as the principal basis for allocating resources to product development, marketing, service and delivery programs.

Methodology

Customer Segmentation requires managers to:

- Divide the market into meaningful and measurable segments according to customers' needs, their past behaviors or their demographic profiles;
- Determine the profit potential of each segment by analyzing the revenue and cost impacts of serving each segment;
- Target segments according to their profit potential and the company's ability to serve them in a proprietary way;
- Invest resources to tailor product, service, marketing and distribution programs to match the needs of each target segment;
- Measure performance of each segment and adjust the segmentation approach over time as market conditions change decision making throughout the organization.

Common uses

Companies can use Customer Segmentation to:

- Prioritize new product development efforts;
- Develop customized marketing programs;
- Choose specific product features;
- Establish appropriate service options;

24

- Design an optimal distribution strategy;
- Determine appropriate product pricing.

Selected references

Christensen, Clayton M., Scott D. Anthony, Gerald Berstell, and Denise Nitterhouse. "Finding the Right Job for Your Product." *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Spring 2007, pp. 38–47.

Cohen, Steve, and Paul Markowitz. "Renewing Market Segmentation: Some New Tools to Correct Old Problems." ESOMAR 2002 Congress Proceedings. ESOMAR, pp. 595–612.

Gale, Bradley T. *Managing Customer Value: Creating Quality and Service That Customers Can See.* Free Press, 1994.

Kotler, Philip. *Marketing Management: Analysis, Planning, Implementation and Control.* Prentice Hall Press, 1999.

Levitt, Theodore. *The Marketing Imagination.* Free Press, 1986.

MacMillan, Ian C., and Larry Selden. "The Incumbent's Advantage." *Harvard Business Review*, October 2008, pp. 111–121.

Markey, Rob, Gerard du Toit, and James Allen. "Find Your Sweet Spot." *Harvard Management Update*, November 2006, pp. 3–6.

McDonald, Malcolm, and Ian Dunbar. *Market Segmentation: How to Do It, How to Profit From It.* Butterworth-Heinemann, 2004.

Myers, James H. *Segmentation and Positioning for Strategic Marketing Decisions.* American Marketing Association, 1996.

Peppers, Don, and Martha Rogers. *The One to One Future: Building Relationships One Customer at a Time.* Currency/Doubleday, 1996.

Yankelovich, Daniel, and David Meer. "Rediscovering Market Segmentation." *Harvard Business Review*, February 2006, pp. 122–131.

Decision Rights Tools

Related topics
- Governance Roles
- Job Descriptions
- Organization Design

Description

Decision Rights Tools help companies to organize their decision making and execution by setting clear roles and accountabilities and by giving all those involved a sense of ownership of decisions: when to provide input, who should follow through and what is beyond their scope. Clear decision rights allow companies to cut through the complexity often clouding today's global structures by ensuring that critical decisions are made promptly and well and result in effective actions.

Methodology

Each person involved in the decision-making process should be assigned one of the five decision-making roles:

- *Recommend:* Recommenders gather and assess the relevant facts, obtaining input from appropriate parties, and then recommend a decision or action;
- *Agree:* Agreers formally approve a recommendation and can delay it if more work is required;
- *Perform:* Performers are accountable for making a decision happen once it's been made;
- *Input:* Inputers combine facts and judgment to provide input into a recommendation;
- *Decide:* Deciders make the ultimate decision and commit the organization to action.

These assignments should factor in the following:

- Each decision should have only one Decider with single-point accountability;
- Each decision has one individual who leads the process to develop a recommendation, factoring in all relevant input;
- Agree roles should be used sparingly, typically only in extraordinary circumstances (e.g., regulatory or legal issues), otherwise they undermine speed and authority;
- Input roles should be assigned only to those with knowledge, experience or access to resources that are so important for a good decision that it would be irresponsible for the decision maker not to seek their input;

- Consider soliciting input from those with perform roles in order to engage early, identify implementation issues and enable upfront planning.

Common uses

Decision Rights Tools allow companies to:

- Eliminate decision bottlenecks, such as those that often occur between the center versus business units, global versus regional versus local units, and different functions;
- Make higher-quality decisions;
- Make faster decisions resulting in faster operational performance (e.g., product development, international roll out, etc.);
- Create a healthy debate on critical decisions, but through processes that feel productive, with minimal frustration;
- Have agility and flexibility in decision making and execution to respond to dynamic circumstances;
- Provide a common vocabulary to discuss decisions in a constructive manner across units.

Selected references

Blenko, Marcia, Michael C. Mankins and Paul Rogers. *Decide & Deliver: Five Steps to Breakthrough Performance in Your Organization*. Harvard Business Press, 2010.

Blenko, Marica, Michael. C Mankins and Paul Rogers. "The Decision Driven Organization." *Harvard Business Review*, June 2010, pp. 55–62.

Davenport, Thomas H. "Make Better Decisions." *Harvard Business Review*, November 2009, pp. 117–122.

Garvin, David A., and Michael A. Roberto. "What You Don't Know About Making Decisions." *Harvard Business Review*, September 2001, pp. 108–116.

Neilson, Gary L., Karla L. Martin, and Elizabeth Powers. "The Secrets to Successful Strategy Execution." *Harvard Business Review*, June 2008, pp. 61–70.

Rogers, Paul, and Marcia Blenko. "Who Has the D? How Clear Decision Roles Enhance Organizational Performance." *Harvard Business Review*, January 2006, pp. 53–61.

Downsizing

Related topics	• Layoffs • Reengineering • Rightsizing
Description	In the face of slowing or declining sales, companies often downsize their employee base as a means of cutting costs to boost profitability. In 2007, nearly one million employees lost their jobs in a mass layoff (50-plus employees) in the United States (an average of 180 workers in approximately 5,300 separate events, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics). The number of layoff events in the United States in September 2008 was the highest since September 2001. Although downsizing is effective for significant cost reduction, it often produces unintended side effects, such as damaged employee morale, poor public relations, future rightsizing hiring costs and an inability to capitalize quickly on opportunities when the economy improves. Skillful downsizing should help a company emerge from challenging economic conditions in stronger shape. Creative efforts to avoid downsizing include hiring freezes, salary cuts or freezes, shortened workweeks, restricted overtime hours, unpaid vacations and temporary plant closures. When downsizing proves unavoidable, the ultimate goal should be to eliminate nonessential company resources while minimizing the negative impact on the remaining organization.
Methodology	Downsizing can be effective if implemented appropriately. Companies must be careful to avoid sending the wrong messages to employees, shareholders and the media. Successful downsizing requires managers to:

- *Evaluate the overall impact of downsizing.* The total cost of downsizing—including both financial and non-financial costs—must be taken into account. Managers must calculate the present value of all costs and benefits associated with the cuts, including severance packages, lower employee productivity due to disorder or talent loss, eventual rehiring expenses, future rightsizing costs and the lost opportunity costs associated with not having the appropriate manpower to accelerate out of the downturn. Investing in areas customers care about—while competitors are cutting back—helps position the company to take or sustain the lead once conditions

improve. The value created from downsizing should exceed the cost of lower employee morale and potential damage to the company's reputation;

- *Develop a smooth downsizing process.* It is crucial that managers invest aggressively in upfront planning for the job cuts. A company typically forms a committee to determine the appropriate level of downsizing and creates a process that takes into account the best interests of the company and the shareholders. Other important activities are training managers to conduct layoffs and assisting former employees in their job searches.

Common uses

- Reduce costs;
- Rightsize resources relative to market demand;
- Signal that the company is taking proactive steps to adjust to changing business needs;
- Take advantage of cost synergies after a merger;
- Release the least-productive resources.

Selected references

Carter, Tony. *The Aftermath of Reengineering: Downsizing and Corporate Performance.* Haworth Press, 1999.

Cooper, Cary L., and Ronald J. Burke. *The Organization in Crisis: Downsizing, Restructuring, and Privatization.* Blackwell, 2000.

De Meuse, Kenneth P., and Mitchell Lee Marks. *Resizing the Organization: Managing Layoffs, Divestitures, and Closings.* Pfeiffer, 2003.

Gertz, Dwight L., and Joao Baptista. *Grow to Be Great: Breaking the Downsizing Cycle.* Free Press, 1995.

Marks, Mitchell Lee. *Charging Back Up the Hill: Workplace Recovery After Mergers, Acquisitions, and Downsizings.* John Wiley & Sons, 2002.

Mishra, Karen E., Gretchen M. Spreitzer, and Aneil K. Mishra. "Preserving Employees Morale During Downsizing." *Sloan Management Review*, Winter 1998, pp. 83–95.

Trevor, Charlie O., and Anthony J. Nyberg. "Keeping Your Headcount When All About You Are Losing Theirs." *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 51, No. 2, 2008, pp. 259–276.

Enterprise Risk Management

Related topics

- Risk Governance
- Scenario and Contingency Planning
- Strategic Planning
- Supply Chain Management

Description

Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) is an approach to making strategic and business decisions after considering major risks and opportunities. Originally focused simply on managing the losses and downside, ERM now is also used to help companies decide between alternative business lines and strategic growth options. Companies are using the tool to take a more value-focused (rather than loss-focused) approach to risk management amid increasing volatility and uncertainty. ERM considers everything from credit risk to operational and supply chain risk. ERM examines decisions through a risk lens, identifying creative approaches to succeed in a world of uncertainty.

Methodology

To build an Enterprise Risk Management system, all parts of the organization contribute vital perspectives:

- *Senior executives* determine the level of risk a company is willing to take. They express their risk appetite in concrete terms such as earnings volatility and potential losses of capital, equity or assets;
- The risk organization, in cooperation with *line managers*, continuously examines the potential impact of various risks (e.g., strategic, business, financial and operational risks) on the organization. They decide whether to avoid the exposure completely, effectively mitigate it (for example, through a transfer to another party) or use the company risk insight and risk management capabilities as an opportunity to generate extra profit from the exposure;
- *Line managers* embed risk management principles into everyday business decisions and activities;
- *Managers* separate risk-taking and risk-monitoring responsibilities to avoid potential conflicts of interest.

Common uses	Companies use Enterprise Risk Management to:

- Take a proactive approach to protecting assets and organizations;
- Determine which opportunities are worth pursuing;
- Formalize risk governance;
- Optimize returns on capital;
- Allow regulators and debt-rating agencies to analyze a company's risk management processes.

Selected references

Fraser, John, and Betty J. Simkins (eds). *Enterprise Risk Management: Today's Leading Research and Best Practices for Tomorrow's Executives*. Wiley, 2010.

Frigo, Mark L. "Strategic Risk Management: The New Core Competency." *Harvard Business Review*, January 2009, http://hbr.org.

Funston, Frederick, and Stephen Wagner. *Surviving and Thriving in Uncertainty: Creating the Risk Intelligent Enterprise*. Wiley, 2010.

Hampton, John J. *Fundamentals of Enterprise Risk Management: How Top Companies Assess Risk, Manage Exposure, and Seize Opportunity*. AMACOM, 2009.

Hubbard, Douglas W. *The Failure of Risk Management: Why It's Broken and How to Fix It*. Wiley, 2009.

Lam, James. *Enterprise Risk Management: From Incentives to Controls*. Wiley, 2003.

Monahan, Gregory. *Enterprise Risk Management: A Methodology for Achieving Strategic Objectives*. Wiley, 2008.

Taleb, Nassim Nicholas. *The Black Swan: The Impact of the Highly Improbable*. Random House, 2007.

Taleb, Nassim N., Daniel G. Goldstein, and Mark W. Spitznagel. "The Six Mistakes Executives Make in Risk Management." *Harvard Business Review*, October 2009, pp. 78–81.

Knowledge Management

Description

Knowledge Management develops systems and processes to acquire and share intellectual assets. It increases the generation of useful, actionable and meaningful information and seeks to increase both individual and team learning. In addition, it can maximize the value of an organization's intellectual base across diverse functions and disparate locations. Knowledge Management maintains that successful businesses are a collection not of products but of distinctive knowledge bases. This intellectual capital is the key that will give the company a competitive advantage with its targeted customers. Knowledge Management seeks to accumulate intellectual capital that will create unique core competencies and lead to superior results.

Methodology

Knowledge Management requires managers to:

- Catalog and evaluate the organization's current knowledge base;
- Determine which competencies will be key to future success and what base of knowledge is needed to build a sustainable leadership position therein;
- Invest in systems and processes to accelerate the accumulation of knowledge;
- Assess the impact of such systems on leadership, culture and hiring practices;
- Codify new knowledge and turn it into tools and information that will improve both product innovation and overall profitability.

Common uses

Companies use Knowledge Management to:

- Improve the cost and quality of existing products or services;
- Strengthen and extend current competencies through intellectual asset management;
- Improve and accelerate the dissemination of knowledge throughout the organization;
- Apply new knowledge to improve behaviors;
- Encourage faster and even more profitable innovation of new products.

Selected references

Collison, Chris, and Geoff Parcell. *Learning to Fly: Practical Lessons from One of the World's Leading Knowledge Companies,* 2d ed. Capstone Publishing, 2005.

Dalkir, Kamiz. *Knowledge Management in Theory and Practice.* Butterworth-Heinemann, 2005.

Davenport, Thomas H., and Laurence Prusak. *Working Knowledge: How Organizations Manage What They Know.* Harvard Business School Press, 1998.

Desouza, Kevin C., and Yukika Awazu. *Engaged Knowledge Management: Engagement with New Realities.* Palgrave Macmillan, 2005.

Firestone, Joseph M., and Mark W. McElroy. *Key Issues in the New Knowledge Management.* Butterworth-Heinemann, 2003.

Flinn, Steven D. *The Learning Layer: Building the Next Level of Intellect in Your Organization.* Palgrave Macmillan, 2010.

Frappaolo, Carl. *Knowledge Management*, 2d ed. Capstone, 2006.

Groff, Todd R., and Thomas P. Jones. *Introduction to Knowledge Management: KM in Business.* Butterworth-Heinemann, 2003.

Ichijo, Kazuo, and Ikujiro Nonaka. *Knowledge Creation and Management: New Challenges for Managers.* Oxford University Press, 2006.

Malone, Thomas W., Kevin Crowston, and George A. Herman (eds.). *Organizing Business Knowledge: The MIT Process Handbook.* MIT Press, 2003.

Quinn, James Brian. *Intelligent Enterprise.* Free Press, 1992.

Renzl, Birgit, Kurt Matzler, and Hans Hinterhuber (eds.). *The Future of Knowledge Management.* Palgrave Macmillan, 2006.

Senge, Peter M. *The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of the Learning Organization,* revised. Currency, 2006.

Stewart, Thomas A. I*ntellectual Capital: The New Wealth of Organizations.* Currency/Doubleday, 1997.

Wenger, Etienne, Richard McDermott, and William M. Snyder. *Cultivating Communities of Practice.* Harvard Business School Press, 2002.

Mergers and Acquisitions

Related topics	• Merger Integration Teams • Strategic Alliances
Description	Over the past decade, Mergers and Acquisitions (M&As) have reached unprecedented levels as companies use corporate financing strategies to maximize shareholder value and create a competitive advantage. Acquisitions occur when a larger company takes over a smaller one; a merger typically involves two relative equals joining forces and creating a new company. Most mergers and acquisitions are friendly, but a hostile takeover occurs when the acquirer bypasses the board of the targeted company and purchases a majority of the company's stock on the open market. A merger is considered a success if it increases shareholder value faster than if the companies had remained separate. Because corporate takeovers and mergers can reduce competition, they are heavily regulated, often requiring government approval. To increase chances of the deal's success, acquirers need to perform rigorous due diligence—a review of the targeted company's assets and performance history—before the purchase to verify the company's standalone value and unmask problems that could jeopardize the outcome.
Methodology	Successful integration requires understanding how to make trade-offs between speed and careful planning and involves: • Setting integration priorities based on the merger's strategic rationale and goals; • Articulating and communicating the deal's vision by merger leaders; • Designing the new organization and operating plan; • Customizing the integration plan to address specific challenges: Act quickly to capture economies of scale; redefine a business model and sacrifice speed to get the model right, such as understanding brand positioning and product growth opportunities; • Aggressively implement the integration plan: by Day 100, the merged company should be operating and contributing value.
Common uses	Mergers are used to increase shareholder value by: • Reducing costs by combining departments and operations, and trimming the workforce;

- Increasing revenue by absorbing a major competitor and winning more market share;
- Cross-selling products or services;
- Creating tax savings when a profitable company buys a money-loser;
- Diversifying to stabilize earning results and boost investor confidence.

Selected references

Bruner, Robert F., and Joseph R. Perella. *Applied Mergers and Acquisitions.* Wiley Finance, 2004.

Frankel, Michael E.S. *Mergers and Acquisitions Basics: The Key Steps of Acquisitions, Divestitures, and Investments.* John Wiley & Sons, 2005.

Gaughan, Patrick A. *Mergers: What Can Go Wrong and How to Prevent It.* John Wiley & Sons, 2005.

Gole, William J., and Paul J. Hilger. *Corporate Divestitures: A Mergers and Acquisitions Best Practices Guide.* John Wiley & Sons, 2008.

Harding, David, and Sam Rovit. *Mastering the Merger: Four Critical Decisions That Make or Break the Deal.* Harvard Business School Publishing Corporation, 2004.

Harding, David, Sam Rovit, and Alistair Corbett. "Avoid Merger Meltdown: Lessons from Mergers and Acquisitions Leaders." *Strategy & Innovation*, September 15, 2004, pp. 3–5.

Kanter, Rosabeth Moss. "Mergers That Stick." *Harvard Business Review*, October 2009, pp. 121–125.

Lajoux, Alexandra Reed, and Charles M. Elson. *The Art of M&A Due Diligence: Navigating Critical Steps and Uncovering Crucial Data*, 2d ed. McGraw-Hill, 2010.

Lovallo, Dan, Patrick Viguerie, Robert Uhlaner, and John Horn. "Deals Without Delusions." *Harvard Business Review*, December 2007, pp. 92–99.

Miller, Edwin L. *Mergers and Acquisitions: A Step-by-Step Legal and Practical Guide.* Wiley, 2008.

Rosenbaum, Joshua, Joshua Pearl and Joseph R. Perella. *Investment Banking: Valuation, Leveraged Buyouts, and Mergers and Acquisitions.* Wiley, 2009.

Schweiger, David M. *M&A Integration: A Framework for Executives and Managers.* McGraw-Hill, 2002.

Mission and Vision Statements

Related topics
- Corporate Values Statements
- Cultural Transformation
- Strategic Planning

Description

A Mission Statement defines the company's business, its objectives and its approach to reach those objectives. A Vision Statement describes the desired future position of the company. Elements of Mission and Vision Statements are often combined to provide a statement of the company's purposes, goals and values. However, sometimes the two terms are used interchangeably.

Methodology

Typically, senior managers will write the company's overall Mission and Vision Statements. Other managers at different levels may write statements for their particular divisions or business units. The development process requires managers to:

- Clearly identify the corporate culture, values, strategy and view of the future by interviewing employees, suppliers and customers;
- Address the commitment the firm has to its key stakeholders, including customers, employees, shareholders and communities;
- Ensure that the objectives are measurable, the approach is actionable and the vision is achievable;
- Communicate the message in clear, simple and precise language;
- Develop buy-in and support throughout the organization.

Common uses

Mission and Vision Statements are commonly used to:

Internally
- Guide management's thinking on strategic issues, especially during times of significant change;
- Help define performance standards;
- Inspire employees to work more productively by providing focus and common goals;
- Guide employee decision making;
- Help establish a framework for ethical behavior.

36

Externally

- Enlist external support;
- Create closer linkages and better communication with customers, suppliers and alliance partners;
- Serve as a public relations tool.

Selected references

Abrahams, Jeffrey. *The Mission Statement Book: 301 Corporate Mission Statements from America's Top Companies.* Ten Speed Press, 2004.

Collins, Jim, and Jerry I. Porras. "Building Your Company's Vision." *Harvard Business Review*, September/October 1996, pp. 65–77.

Collins, Jim, and Jerry I. Porras. *Built to Last: Successful Habits of Visionary Companies.* Collins Business, 2004.

Horan, James T. *The One Page Business Plan: Start with a Vision, Build a Company!* One Page Business Plan Company, 1998.

Jones, Patricia, and Larry Kahaner. *Say It and Live It: The 50 Corporate Mission Statements That Hit the Mark.* Currency/Doubleday, 1995.

Kotter, John P. "Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail." *Harvard Business Review*, March/April 1995, pp. 59–67.

Kotter, John P., and James L. Heskett. *Corporate Culture and Performance.* Free Press, 1992.

Nanus, Burt. *Visionary Leadership.* Jossey-Bass, 1995.

O'Hallaron, Richard, and David O'Hallaron. *The Mission Primer: Four Steps to an Effective Mission Statement.* Mission Incorporated, 2000.

Raynor, Michael E. "That Vision Thing: Do We Need It?" *Long Range Planning*, June 1998, pp. 368–376.

Wall, Bob, Mark R. Sobol, and Robert S. Solum. *The Mission-Driven Organization.* Prima Publishing, 1999.

Zimmerman, John, with Benjamin Tregoe. *The Culture of Success: Building a Sustained Competitive Advantage by Living Your Corporate Beliefs.* McGraw-Hill, 1997.

Open Innovation

Related topics	• Collaborative Innovation • Crowdsourcing • New Product Development • Open-Market Innovation
Description	Open Innovation applies the principles of free trade to innovation, advancing new ideas through the use of tools such as partnerships, joint ventures, licensing and strategic alliances. By collaborating with outsiders—including customers, vendors and even competitors—Open Innovation enables the laws of comparative advantage to drive the efficient allocation of R&D resources. By reaching beyond corporate borders, a company can import lower-cost, higher-quality ideas from a wide array of world-class experts to improve the speed, quality and cost of innovation. This approach allows the business to refocus its own innovation resources where it has clear competitive advantages. Ideas also are exported to businesses that can put them to better use.
Methodology	Open Innovation requires companies to: • *Focus resources on its core innovation advantages*. Allocate resources to the opportunities with the best potential to strengthen the core businesses, reduce R&D risks and raise the returns on innovation capital; • *Improve the circulation of innovation ideas*. Develop information systems to capture insights, minimize duplicative efforts and advance teamwork; • *Increase innovation imports*. Gain access to valuable new ideas, complement core innovation advantages, improve the company's collaborative abilities and build its reputation as an innovative partner; • *Increase innovation exports*. Establish incentives and processes to assess objectively the fair market value of innovations. Carefully structure joint ventures and strategic alliances to protect the company's rights, raise additional cash and strengthen relationships with trading partners.
Common uses	Companies use Open Innovation to: • Clarify core competencies; • Maximize the productivity of new product development without increasing R&D budgets; • Decide quickly and efficiently whether to buy or sell patents and other intellectual capital; • Promote faster, higher-quality innovations.

Selected references

Chesbrough, Henry William. *Open Business Models: How to Thrive in the New Innovation Landscape*. Harvard Business School Press, 2006.

Chesbrough, Henry William. *Open Innovation: The New Imperative for Creating and Profiting from Technology*. Harvard Business School Press, 2003.

Chesbrough, Henry W. and Andrew R. Garman. "How Open Innovation Can Help You Cope in Lean Times." *Harvard Business Review*, December 2009. pp. 68–76.

Christensen, Clayton M., and Michael E. Raynor. *The Innovator's Solution: Creating and Sustaining Successful Growth*. Harvard Business School Press, 2003.

Hagel, John, III, and John Seely Brown. "Productive Friction: How Difficult Business Partnerships Can Accelerate Innovation." *Harvard Business Review*, February 2005, pp. 82–91.

Huston, Larry, and Nabil Sakkab. "Connect and Develop: Inside Procter & Gamble's New Model for Innovation." *Harvard Business Review*, March 2006, pp. 58–66.

Linder, Jane C., Sirkka Jarvenpaa, and Thomas H. Davenport. "Toward an Innovation Sourcing Strategy." *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Summer 2003, pp. 43–49.

Nambisan, Satish, and Mohanbir Sawhney. *The Global Brain: Your Roadmap for Innovating Faster and Smarter in a Networked World*. Wharton School Publishing, 2007.

Prahalad, C.K., and Venkat Ramaswamy. *The Future of Competition: Co-Creating Unique Value with Customers*. Harvard Business School Press, 2004.

Rigby, Darrell K., and Chris Zook. "Open-Market Innovation." *Harvard Business Review*, October 2002, pp. 80–89.

Selden, Larry, and Ian C. MacMillan. "Manage Customer-Centric Innovation—Systematically." *Harvard Business Review*, April 2006, pp. 108–116.

Surowiecki, James. *The Wisdom of Crowds*. Anchor, 2005.

Terwiesch, Christian and Karl Ulrich. *Innovation Tournaments: Creating and Selecting Exceptional Opportunities*. Harvard Business School Press, 2009.

Outsourcing

Description

When Outsourcing, a company uses third parties to perform noncore business activities. Contracting third parties enables a company to focus its efforts on its core competencies. Many companies find that Outsourcing reduces cost and improves performance of the activity. Third parties that specialize in an activity are likely to be lower cost and more effective, given their focus and scale. Through Outsourcing, a company can access the state of the art in all of its business activities without having to master each one internally.

Methodology

When Outsourcing, take the following steps:

- *Determine whether the activity to outsource is a core competency.* In most cases, it is unwise to outsource something that creates a unique competitive advantage;
- *Evaluate the financial impact of Outsourcing.* Outsourcing likely offers cost advantages if a vendor can realize economies of scale. A complete financial analysis should include the impact of increased flexibility and productivity or decreased time to market;
- *Assess the nonfinancial costs and advantages of Outsourcing.* Managers will also want to qualitatively assess the benefits and risks of Outsourcing. Benefits include the ability to leverage the outside expertise of a specialized outsourcer and the freeing up of resources devoted to noncore business activities. A key risk is the growing dependence a company might place on an outsourcer, thus limiting future flexibility;
- *Choose an Outsourcing partner and contract the relationship.* Candidates should be qualified and selected according to both their demonstrated effectiveness and their ability to work collaboratively. The contract should include clearly established performance guidelines and measures.

Common uses

Companies use Outsourcing to:

- Reduce operating costs;
- Instill operational discipline;
- Increase manufacturing productivity and flexibility;
- Leverage the expertise and innovation of specialized firms;
- Encourage use of best demonstrated practices for internal activities;
- Avoid capital investment, particularly under uncertainty;
- Release resources—people, capital and time—to focus on core competencies.

Selected references

Brown, Douglas, and Scott Wilson. *The Black Book of Outsourcing: How to Manage the Changes, Challenges, and Opportunities.* John Wiley & Sons, 2005.

Gottfredson, Mark, Rudy Puryear, and Stephen Phillips. "Strategic Sourcing: From Periphery to the Core." *Harvard Business Review*, February 2005, pp. 132–139.

Greaver, Maurice. *Strategic Outsourcing: A Structured Approach to Outsourcing Decisions and Initiatives.* AMACOM, 1999.

Kennedy, Robert E., and Ajay Sharma. *The Services Shift: Seizing the Ultimate Offshore Opportunity.* FT Press, 2009.

Koulopoulos, Thomas M., and Tom Roloff. *Smartsourcing: Driving Innovation and Growth Through Outsourcing.* Platinum Press, Inc., 2006.

The Outsourcing Institute. www.outsourcing.com.

Power, Mark J., Kevin Desouza, and Carlo Bonifazi. *The Outsourcing Handbook: How to Implement a Successful Outsourcing Process.* Kogan Page, 2006.

Quinn, James Brian. "Outsourcing Innovation: The New Engine of Growth." *Sloan Management Review*, Summer 2000, pp. 13–28.

Robinson, Marcia, Ravi Kalakota, and Suresh Sharma. *Global Outsourcing: Executing an Onshore, Nearshore or Offshore Strategy.* Mivar Press, 2005.

Vashistha, Atul, and Avinash Vashistha. *The Offshore Nation: Strategies for Success in Global Outsourcing and Offshoring.* McGraw-Hill, 2006.

Vitasek, Kate, Mike Ledyard, and Karl B. Manrodt. *Vested Outsourcing: Five Rules That Will Transform Outsourcing.* Palgrave Macmillan, 2010.

Price Optimization Models

Related topics

- Demand-Based Management
- Pricing Strategy
- Revenue Enhancement

Description

Price Optimization Models are mathematical programs that calculate how demand varies at different price levels, then combine that data with information on costs and inventory levels to recommend prices that will improve profits. The modeling allows companies to use pricing as a powerful profit lever, which often is underdeveloped. Price Optimization Models can be used to tailor pricing for customer segments by simulating how targeted customers will respond to price changes with data-driven scenarios. Given the complexity of pricing thousands of items in highly dynamic market conditions, modeling results and insights helps to forecast demand, develop pricing and promotion strategies, control inventory levels and improve customer satisfaction.

Methodology

Price Optimization Models should factor in three critical pricing elements: pricing strategy, the value of the product to both buyer and seller, and tactics that manage all elements impacting profitability. Practitioners should:

- Select the preferred optimization model and determine desired outputs and required inputs;
- Collect historical data, including product volumes, the company's prices and promotions, competitors' prices, economic conditions, product availability, seasonal conditions and fixed and variable cost details;
- Clarify the business's value proposition and set strategic rules to guide the modeling process;
- Load, run and revise the model;
- Establish decision-making processes that incorporate modeling results without alienating key decision makers;
- Monitor results and upgrade data input to continuously improve modeling accuracy.

Common uses

Price Optimization Models help businesses determine initial pricing, promotional pricing and markdown (or discount) pricing:

- Initial price optimization works well for companies with a stable base of long life-cycle products—grocery stores, drug chains, office-supply stores and commodities manufacturers;
- Promotional price optimization helps set temporary prices to spur sales of items with long lifecycles—newly introduced products, products bundled together in special promotions and loss leaders;
- Markdown optimization helps businesses selling short life-cycle products subject to fashion trends and seasonality—airlines, hotels, specialty retailers and mass merchants.

Selected references

Baker, Ronald J. *Pricing on Purpose: Creating and Capturing Value*. John Wiley & Sons, 2006.

Boyd, E. Andrew. *The Future of Pricing: How Airline Ticket Pricing Has Inspired a Revolution*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2007.

Holden, Reed, and Mark Burton. *Pricing With Confidence: 10 Ways to Stop Leaving Money on the Table*. Wiley, 2008.

Kinni, Theodore. "Setting the Right Prices At the Right Time." *Harvard Management Update*, December 2003, pp. 4–6.

Nagle, Thomas T., and John Hogan. *The Strategy and Tactics of Pricing: A Guide to Growing More Profitably*, 4th ed. Prentice Hall, 2005.

Phillips, Robert. *Pricing and Revenue Optimization*. Stanford Business Books, 2005.

Sodhi, ManMohan S., and Navdeep S. Sodhi. *Six Sigma Pricing: Improving Pricing Operations to Increase Profits*. FT Press, 2007.

Rapid Prototyping

Description

Rapid Prototyping is a faster, more effective and lower-cost method of designing and testing an innovation hypothesis before the product launch. It creates real-world tests by quickly putting models in front of customers and then making improvements based on their responses. The methodology reduces the design cycle time and enables multiple tests on a design with less expense, accelerating an innovation's time to market. Instead of the traditional approach—building expensive, nearly complete archetypes before testing them with customers—Rapid Prototyping uses digital simulations and simple models to test customer reactions quickly and inexpensively. Because the models give customers more of a real-world experience, their reactions generate useful information that can be rapidly incorporated in the product's design, reducing post-launch risks that the new product fails to meet customer needs.

Methodology

To build a Rapid Prototype system, development teams should:
- Identify the most important and risky elements of an innovation project;
- Determine what hypotheses must be tested before making substantial investments;
- Design the fastest, lowest-cost methods for testing hypotheses;
- Test, learn and modify. Redesign prototypes based on customer reactions, consider adding new features suggested by customers and continuously improve the prototype with repeated testing to improve quality and features.

Common uses	Rapid Prototyping is used to:

Common uses

Rapid Prototyping is used to:
- Speed innovation through real-world testing before the product launch;
- Lower innovation costs with less costly prototypes, freeing up development teams to conduct testing that's more thorough and to explore more ideas;
- Reduce risks of failing to meet customer needs by incorporating customer feedback early in the product development cycle, helping to ensure that a product is delivered on time and on budget.

Selected references

Brown, Tim. "Design Thinking." *Harvard Business Review,* June 2008, pp. 84–92.

Hopkinson, Neil, Richard Hague, and Philip Dickens. *Rapid Manufacturing: An Industrial Revolution for the Digital Age.* Wiley, 2006.

Liou, Frank W. *Rapid Prototyping and Engineering Applications: A Toolbox for Prototype Development.* CRC Press, 2007.

MacCormack, Alan. "Product-Development Practices That Work: How Internet Companies Build Software." *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Winter 2001, pp. 75–84.

McGrath, Rita Gunther, and Ian C. MacMillan. D*iscovery-Driven Growth: A Breakthrough Process to Reduce Risk and Seize Opportunity*. Harvard Business School Press, 2009.

Thomke, Stefan, and Eric von Hippel. "Customers as Innovators: A New Way to Create Value." *Harvard Business Review,* April 2002, pp. 74–81.

Satisfaction and Loyalty Management

Related topics

- Customer and Employee Surveys
- Customer Loyalty and Retention
- Customer Relationship Management
- Net Promoter® Scores
- Revenue Enhancement

Description

Loyalty Management tools grow a business's revenues and profits by improving retention among its customers, employees and investors. Loyalty programs measure and track the loyalty of those groups, diagnose the root causes of defection among them and develop ways not only to boost their allegiance but turn them into advocates for the company. Satisfaction and Loyalty Management quantifiably links financial results to changes in retention rates, maintaining that even small shifts in retention can yield significant changes in company profit performance and growth.

Methodology

A comprehensive Satisfaction and Loyalty Management program requires companies to:

- Regularly assess current loyalty levels through surveys and behavioral data. The most effective approaches distinguish mere satisfaction from true loyalty; they ask current customers how likely they would be to recommend the company to a friend or a colleague, and frontline employees whether they believe the organization deserves their loyalty;
- Benchmark current loyalty levels against those of competitors;
- Identify the few dimensions of performance that matter most to customers and employees, and track them rigorously;
- Systematically communicate survey feedback throughout the organization;
- Build loyalty and retention targets into the company's incentive, planning and budgeting systems;
- Develop new programs to reduce customer and employee churn rates;
- Revise policies that drive short-term results at the expense of long-term loyalty, such as high service fees and discounts given only to new customers;
- Reach out to investors and suppliers to learn what drives their loyalty.

Net Promoter® is a registered trademark of Bain & Company, Inc., Fred Reichheld and Satmetrix Systems, Inc.

Common uses

Well-executed Satisfaction and Loyalty Management programs enable companies to:

- Build lasting relationships with customers who contribute the most to profitability, and capture a larger share of their business;
- Generate sales growth by increasing referrals from customers and employees;
- Attract and retain employees whose skills, knowledge and relationships are essential to superior performance;
- Improve productivity, and decrease recruitment and training costs;
- Strategically align the interests and energies of employees, customers, suppliers and investors in a self-reinforcing cycle;
- Improve long-term financial performance and shareholder value.

Selected references

Dinsdale, J. Scott, and Dr. Jim Taylor. "The Value of Loyalty." *Optimize*, April 2003, pp. 32–42.

Dixon, Matthew, Karen Freeman, and Nicholas Toman. "Stop Trying to Delight Your Customers." *Harvard Business Review*, July/August 2010, pp. 116–122.

Humby, Clive, Terry Hunt, and Tim Phillips. *Scoring Points: How Tesco Continues to Win Customer Loyalty*, 2d ed. Kogan Page, 2008.

Kumar, V., J. Andrew Peterson, and Robert P. Leone. "How Valuable Is Word of Mouth." *Harvard Business Review*, October 2007, pp. 139–146.

Owen, Richard, and Laura L. Brooks. *Answering the Ultimate Question: How Net Promoter Can Transform Your Business*. Jossey-Bass, 2008.

Reichheld, Fred. *Loyalty Rules: How Today's Leaders Build Lasting Relationships*. Harvard Business School Press, 2003.

Reichheld, Fred. "The Microeconomics of Customer Relationships." *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Winter 2006, pp. 73–78.

Reichheld, Fred. "The One Number You Need to Grow." *Harvard Business Review*, December 2003, pp. 46–54.

Reichheld, Fred. *The Ultimate Question*. Harvard Business School Press, 2006.

Reinartz, Werner, and V. Kumar. "The Mismanagement of Customer Loyalty." *Harvard Business Review*, July 2002, pp. 4–12.

Scenario and Contingency Planning

Related topics

- Crisis Management
- Disaster Recovery
- Groupthink
- Real-Options Analysis
- Simulation Models

Description

Scenario Planning allows executives to explore and prepare for several alternative futures. It examines the outcomes a company might expect under a variety of operating strategies and economic conditions. Contingency Planning assesses what effect sudden market changes or business disruptions might have on a company and devises strategies to deal with them. Scenario and contingency plans avoid the dangers of simplistic, one-dimensional or linear thinking. By raising and testing various "what-if" scenarios, managers can brainstorm together and challenge their assumptions in a nonthreatening, hypothetical environment before they decide on a certain course of action. Scenario and Contingency Planning allows management to pressure-test plans and forecasts and equips the company to handle the unexpected.

Methodology

Key steps in a Scenario and Contingency Planning process are:

- Choose a time frame to explore;
- Identify the current assumptions and thought processes of key decision makers;
- Create varied, yet plausible, scenarios;
- Test the impact of key variables in each scenario;
- Develop action plans based on either the most promising solutions or the most desirable outcome the company seeks;
- Monitor events as they unfold to test the company's strategic direction;
- Be prepared to change course if necessary.

Common uses

By using Scenario and Contingency Planning, a company can:

- Achieve a higher degree of organizational learning;
- Raise and challenge both implicit and widely held beliefs and assumptions about the business and its strategic direction;
- Identify key levers that can influence the company's future course;
- Turn long-range planning into a vital, shared experience;
- Develop a clearer view of the future;
- Incorporate globalization and change management into strategic analysis.

Selected references

Bazerman, Max H., and Michael D. Watkins. *Predictable Surprises: The Disasters You Should Have Seen Coming, and How to Prevent Them.* Harvard Business School Press, 2004.

Bood, Robert, and Theo Postma. "Strategic Learning with Scenarios." *European Management Journal,* December 1997, pp. 633–647.

Elkins, Debra, Robert B. Handfield, Jennifer Blackhurst, and Christopher W. Craighead. "18 Ways to Guard Against Disruption." S*upply Chain Management Review,* January 1, 2005, pp. 46–53.

Fahey, Liam, and Robert M. Randall (eds.). *Learning from the Future: Competitive Foresight Scenarios.* John Wiley & Sons, 1997.

Fuld, Leonard. "Be Prepared." *Harvard Business Review,* November 2003, pp. 20–21.

Lindgren, Mats, and Hans Bandhold. *Scenario Planning: The Link Between Future and Strategy.* Palgrave Macmillan, 2009.

Nolan, Timothy N., Leonard D. Goodstein, and Jeanette Goodstein. *Applied Strategic Planning: An Introduction,* 2d ed. Pfeiffer, 2008.

Ramirez, Rafael, John W. Selsky, and Kees van der Heijden. *Business Planning in Turbulent Times: New Methods for Applying Scenarios,* 2d ed. Earthscan Publications, 2010.

Ringland, Gill. *Scenario Planning: Managing for the Future,* 2d ed. John Wiley & Sons, 2006.

Schoemaker, Paul J.H. "Scenario Planning: A Tool for Strategic Thinking." *Sloan Management Review,* Winter 1995, pp. 25–40.

Schwartz, Peter. *The Art of the Long View: Paths to Strategic Insight for Yourself and Your Company.* Currency/Doubleday, 1996.

van der Heijden, Kees. *Scenarios: The Art of Strategic Conversation,* 2d ed. John Wiley & Sons, 2005.

van der Heijden, Kees, Ron Bradfield, George Burt, George Cairns, and George Wright. *The Sixth Sense: Accelerating Organizational Learning with Scenarios.* John Wiley & Sons, 2002.

Wack, Pierre. "Scenarios: Shooting the Rapids." *Harvard Business Review,* November/December 1985, pp. 139–150.

Shared Service Centers

Description

Shared Service Centers (SSCs) reduce costs by consolidating one or more back-office operations used by multiple divisions of the same company—such as finance, information technology, customer service and human resources—into a shared operation. By creating a standalone or semi-autonomous Shared Service Center, companies can eliminate redundant activities and improve efficiency, services and customer satisfaction. Because of the need of every corporate department for finance and human services, these functions offer a common opportunity for an SSC model. Many of the savings come from standardizing technology and processes on a national and regional basis, making it easier to provide support for multiple business units, reduce personnel and improve the speed and quality of service. Despite the success of Shared Service Centers, some SSC pioneers are moving to variations on the model: outsourcing back-office operations to a third-party provider, and consolidating and moving SSCs to countries with lower labor costs.

Methodology

A successful move to a Shared Service Center model requires a carefully planned and managed transition. The transition should:

- Standardize processes before the shift;
- Consolidate processes and people without losing key employees and disrupting services;
- Reengineer systems: The first cost savings usually come from reduced headcounts and redesigned processes;
- Communicate clear vision and early successes by top management;
- Win buy-in from departments that will use SSC.

| **Common uses** | Shared Service Centers are used not only to improve cost savings; they also help companies respond to the marketplace and pursue rapid growth strategies by: |

- Delivering higher-quality service and improved customer satisfaction;
- Capturing economies of scale;
- Increasing standardization and use of leading-edge technologies;
- Freeing up employees to spend more time and resources on their core jobs;
- Providing flexibility to add quickly new business units and expand geographically;
- Enabling rapid integration of new acquisitions.

| **Selected references** | Bangemann, Tom Olavi. *Shared Services in Finance and Accounting*. Gower Publishing Limited, 2005. |

Bergeron, Bryan. *Essentials of Shared Services*. John Wiley & Sons, 2003.

Kennedy, Robert E., and Ajay Sharma. *The Services Shift: Seizing the Ultimate Offshore Opportunity*. FT Press, 2009.

Kris, Andrew, and Martin Fahy. *Shared Service Centres: Delivering Value from Effective Finance and Business Processes*. Financial Times Management, 2003.

Melchior, Daniel C., Jr. *Shared Services: A Manager's Journey*. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.

Quinn, Barbara, Robert Cooke, and Andrew Kris. *Shared Services: Mining for Corporate Gold*. Financial Times Prentice Hall, 2000.

Reilly, Peter A., and Tony Williams. *How to Get Best Value from HR: The Shared Services Option*. Gower Publishing Limited, 2003.

Schulman, Donniel S., Martin J. Harmer, John R. Dunleavy, and James S. Lusk. *Shared Services: Adding Value to the Business Units*. John Wiley & Sons, 1999.

Tham, Irene. "Shared Services: Getting it Right." *MIS Magazine*, February 2005, http://www.misweb.com.

Social Media Programs

Related topics

- Blogs
- Multimedia Chat Rooms
- Online Communities
- Social Gaming Networks

Description

Social Media Programs allow individuals and organizations to interact with their employees, friends, customers and partners electronically across a range of devices. Social Media is rapidly changing and is used for four primary purposes: communication (driving awareness, sharing content and providing customer service), commerce (selling products directly and getting referrals), collaboration (sharing ideas and getting feedback) and communities (fostering connection with the company and within customer and employee groups). Social Media options include everything from online community pages and micro-blogging platforms to company-operated websites and forums to social gaming.

Methodology

To use Social Media effectively, managers need to take the following steps:

- *Understand what Social Media tools your customers are using.* Determine what they are saying about you;
- *Decide which additional tools are most valuable.* Prioritize the four primary purposes and determine which Social Media tools to apply to which purposes in collaboration with which partners;
- *Deploy Social Media tools across all aspects of the customer experience.* Attract and retain customers by allowing them to share and rate new products, make purchases or receive advice from the company about using the product;
- *Develop testing and learning capabilities.* Use customer feedback to improve services and increase loyalty. Develop insights into customer behaviors and needs with research and analytics;
- *Integrate targeted messages.* Ensure that Social Media methods and messages are consistent with the company's brand positioning and other marketing campaigns;
- *Promote the new tools.* Raise awareness of new tools with customers, employees and other targeted audiences.

Common uses	• Strengthen branding; • Communicate with customers and employees; • Generate product awareness; • Sell products; • Obtain referrals; • Share ideas; • Solicit feedback; • Build communities.

Selected references

Bernoff, Josh, and Ted Schadler. "Empowered." *Harvard Business Review*, July/August 2010, pp. 94–101.

Brogan, Chris and Julien Smith. *Trust Agents: Using the Web to Build Influence, Improve Reputation, and Earn Trust*. Wiley, 2010.

Gossieaux, Francois, and Ed Moran. *The Hyper-Social Organization: Eclipse Your Competition by Leveraging Social Media*. McGraw-Hill, 2010.

Israel, Shel. *Twitterville: How Businesses Can Thrive in the New Global Neighborhoods*. Portfolio, 2009.

Li, Charlene, and Josh Bernoff. *Groundswell: Winning in a World Transformed by Social Technologies*. Harvard Business Press, 2008.

Scoble, Robert, and Shel Israel. *Naked Conversations: How Blogs Are Changing the Way Businesses Talk with Customers*. Wiley, 2006.

Solis, Brian. Engage: *The Complete Guide for Brands and Businesses to Build, Cultivate, and Measure Success in the New Web*. Wiley, 2010.

Sterne, Jim. *Social Media Metrics: How to Measure and Optimize Your Marketing Investment*. Wiley, 2010.

Strategic Alliances

Related topics

- Corporate Venturing
- Joint Ventures
- Value-Managed Relationships
- Virtual Organizations

Description

Strategic Alliances are agreements among firms in which each commits resources to achieve a common set of objectives. Companies may form Strategic Alliances with a wide variety of players: customers, suppliers, competitors, universities or divisions of government. Through Strategic Alliances, companies can improve competitive positioning, gain entry to new markets, supplement critical skills and share the risk or cost of major development projects.

Methodology

To form a Strategic Alliance, companies should:

- Define their business vision and strategy in order to understand how an alliance fits their objectives;
- Evaluate and select potential partners based on the level of synergy and the ability of the firms to work together;
- Develop a working relationship and mutual recognition of opportunities with the prospective partner;
- Negotiate and implement a formal agreement that includes systems to monitor performance.

Common uses

Strategic Alliances are formed to:

- Reduce costs through economies of scale or increased knowledge;
- Increase access to new technology;
- Inhibit competitors;
- Enter new markets;
- Reduce cycle time;
- Improve research and development efforts;
- Improve quality.

Selected references

Armstrong, Arthur G., and John Hagel III. *Net Gain: Expanding Markets Through Virtual Communities.* Harvard Business School Press, March 1997.

Chang, Wen-Long, and Jasmine Yi-Hsuan Hsin. "The Study of the Motivation and Performance of the Incubators' Strategic Alliances: Strategic Groups Perspective." *Journal of American Academy of Business*, March 2006, pp. 126–133.

Doz, Yves L., and Gary Hamel. *Alliance Advantage*. Harvard Business School Press, 1998.

Dyer, Jeffrey H., Prashant Kale, and Harbir Singh. "How to Make Strategic Alliances Work." *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Summer 2001, pp. 37–43.

Dyer, Jeffrey H., Prashant Kale, and Harbir Singh. "When to Ally and When to Acquire." *Harvard Business Review*, July 2004, pp. 108–115.

Kanter, Rosabeth M. "Collaborative Advantage: The Art of Alliances." *Harvard Business Review*, July/August 1994, pp. 96–108.

Kaplan, Robert S., David P. Norton, and Bjarne Rugelsjoen. "Managing Alliances with the Balanced Scorecard." *Harvard Business Review*, January 2010, pp. 114–120.

Kuglin, Fred A., with Jeff Hook. *Building, Leading and Managing Strategic Alliances*. AMACOM, 2002.

Lewis, Jordan D. *Trusted Partners: How Companies Build Mutual Trust and Win Together*. Free Press, March 2000.

Rigby, Darrell K., and Robin W.T. Buchanan. "Putting More Strategy into Strategic Alliances." *Directors and Boards*, Winter 1994, pp. 14–19.

Rigby, Darrell K., and Chris Zook. "Open-Market Innovation." *Harvard Business Review*, October 2002, pp. 80–89.

Segil, Larraine. *Measuring the Value of Partnering: How to Use Metrics to Plan, Develop, and Implement Successful Alliances*. American Management Association, 2004.

Shenkar, Oded, and Jeffrey J. Reuer (eds.). *Handbook of Strategic Alliances*. Sage Publications, 2005.

Steinhilber, Steve. *Strategic Alliances: Three Ways to Make Them Work*. Harvard Business School Press, 2008.

Strategic Planning

Description

Strategic Planning is a comprehensive process for determining what a business should become and how it can best achieve that goal. It appraises the full potential of a business and explicitly links the business's objectives to the actions and resources required to achieve them. Strategic Planning offers a systematic process to ask and answer the most critical questions confronting a management team—especially large, irrevocable resource commitment decisions.

Methodology

A successful Strategic Planning process should:

- Describe the organization's mission, vision and fundamental values;
- Target potential business arenas and explore each market for emerging threats and opportunities;
- Understand the current and future priorities of targeted customer segments;
- Analyze the company's strengths and weaknesses relative to competitors and determine which elements of the value chain the company should make versus buy;
- Identify and evaluate alternative strategies;
- Develop an advantageous business model that will profitably differentiate the company from its competitors;
- Define stakeholder expectations and establish clear and compelling objectives for the business;
- Prepare programs, policies and plans to implement the strategy;
- Establish supportive organizational structures, decision processes, information and control systems and hiring and training systems;
- Allocate resources to develop critical capabilities;
- Plan for and respond to contingencies or environmental changes;
- Monitor performance.

Common uses

Strategic Planning processes are often implemented to:

- Change the direction and performance of a business;
- Encourage fact-based discussions of politically sensitive issues;

- Create a common framework for decision making in the organization;
- Set a proper context for budget decisions and performance evaluations;
- Train managers to develop better information to make better decisions;
- Increase confidence in the business's direction.

Selected references

Collis, Daniel J., and Michael G. Rukstad. "Can You Say What Your Strategy Is?" *Harvard Business Review*, April 2008, pp. 82–90.

Drucker, Peter F. *Managing in a Time of Great Change*. Harvard Business Press, 2009.

Goold, Michael, Andrew Campbell, and Marcus Alexander. *Corporate-Level Strategy: Creating Value in the Multibusiness Company*. John Wiley & Sons, 1994.

Gottfredson, Mark, and Steve Schaubert. *Breakthrough Imperative: How the Best Managers Get Outstanding Results*. Collins Business, 2008.

Hamel, Gary, and C.K. Prahalad. *Competing for the Future*. Harvard Business School Press, 1994.

Hrebiniak, Lawrence G. *Making Strategy Work: Leading Effective Execution and Change*. Wharton School Publishing, 2005.

Mankins, Michael C. "Stop Wasting Valuable Time." *Harvard Business Review*, September 2004, pp. 58–65.

Mintzberg, Henry. *The Rise and Fall of Strategic Planning: Reconceiving Roles for Planning, Plans, Planners*. Free Press, 1994.

Mintzberg, Henry, Joseph Lampel, and Bruce Ahlstrand. *Strategy Safari: A Guided Tour Through The Wilds of Strategic Management*. Free Press, 1998.

Porter, Michael E. *Competitive Strategy: Techniques for Analyzing Industries and Competitors*. Free Press, 1998.

Porter, Michael E. "What Is Strategy?" *Harvard Business Review*, November/December 1996, pp. 61–78.

Supply Chain Management

Description

Supply Chain Management synchronizes the efforts of all parties—suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, dealers, customers, and so on—involved in meeting a customer's needs. The approach often relies on technology to enable seamless exchanges of information, goods and services across organizational boundaries. It forges much closer relationships among all links in the value chain in order to deliver the right products to the right places at the right times for the right costs. The goal is to establish such strong bonds of communication and trust among all parties that they can effectively function as one unit, fully aligned to streamline business processes and achieve total customer satisfaction.

Methodology

Companies typically implement Supply Chain Management in four stages:

- Stage I seeks to increase the level of trust among vital links in the supply chain. Managers learn to treat former adversaries as valuable partners. This stage often leads to longer-term commitments with preferred partners;
- Stage II increases the exchange of information. It creates more accurate, up-to-date knowledge of demand forecasts, inventory levels, capacity utilization, production schedules, delivery dates and other data that could help supply chain partners improve performance;
- Stage III expands efforts to manage the supply chain as one overall process rather than dozens of independent functions. It leverages the core competencies of each player, automates information exchange, changes management processes and incentive systems, eliminates unproductive activities, improves forecasting, reduces inventory levels, cuts cycle times and involves customers more deeply in the Supply Chain Management process;
- Stage IV identifies and implements radical ideas to transform the supply chain completely and deliver customer value in unprecedented ways.

| **Common uses** | Recognizing that value is leaking out of the supply chain, but that only limited improvement can be achieved by any single company, managers turn to Supply Chain Management to help them deliver products and services faster, better and less expensively. |

Supply Chain Management capitalizes on many trends that have changed worldwide business practices, including just-in-time (JIT) inventories, electronic data interchange (EDI), outsourcing of noncore activities, supplier consolidation and globalization.

Selected references

Ayers, James B. *Handbook of Supply Chain Management*, 2d ed. Auerbach, 2006.

Fisher, Marshall, and Ananth Raman. *The New Science of Retailing: How Analytics Are Transforming the Supply Chain and Improving Performance*. Harvard Business Press, 2010.

Frazelle, Edward. *Supply Chain Strategy*. McGraw-Hill, 2001.

Harvard Business Review on Supply Chain Management. Harvard Business School Press, 2006.

Hugos, Michael H. *Essentials of Supply Chain Management,* 2d ed. Wiley, 2006.

Martin, James. *Lean Six Sigma for Supply Chain Management*. McGraw-Hill Professional, 2006.

Narayanan, V.G., and Ananth Raman. "Aligning Incentives in Supply Chains." *Harvard Business Review*, November 2004. pp. 94–102, 149.

Slone, Reuben E. "Leading a Supply Chain Turnaround." *Harvard Business Review*, October 2004, pp. 114–121.

Trent, Robert J. *Strategic Supply Management: Creating the Next Source of Competitive Advantage*. J. Ross Publishing, 2007.

Total Quality Management

Related topics
- Continuous Improvement
- Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award
- Quality Assurance
- Six Sigma

Description

Total Quality Management (TQM) is a systematic approach to quality improvement that marries product and service specifications to customer performance. TQM then aims to produce these specifications with zero defects. This creates a virtuous cycle of continuous improvement that boosts production, customer satisfaction and profits.

Methodology

In order to succeed, TQM programs require managers to:

Assess customer requirements
- Understand present and future customer needs;
- Design products and services that cost-effectively meet or exceed those needs.

Deliver quality
- Identify the key problem areas in the process and work on them until they approach zero-defect levels;
- Train employees to use the new processes;
- Develop effective measures of product and service quality;
- Create incentives linked to quality goals;
- Promote a zero-defect philosophy across all activities;
- Encourage management to lead by example;
- Develop feedback mechanisms to ensure continuous improvement.

Common uses

TQM improves profitability by focusing on quality improvement and addressing associated challenges within an organization. TQM can be used to:

- Increase productivity;
- Lower scrap and rework costs;
- Improve product reliability;
- Decrease time-to-market cycles;
- Decrease customer service problems;
- Increase competitive advantage.

Selected references

Besterfield, Dale H., Carol Besterfield-Michna, Glen Besterfield, and Mary Besterfield-Sacre. *Total Quality Management*, 3d ed. Prentice Hall, 2002.

Camison, Cesar. "Total Quality Management and Cultural Change: A Model of Organizational Development." *International Journal of Technology Management*, Vol. 16, No. 4/5/6, 1998, pp. 479–493.

Choi, Thomas Y., and Orlando C. Behling. "Top Managers and TQM Success: One More Look After All These Years." *Academy of Management Executive*, February 1997, pp. 37–47.

Dahlgaard, Jens J., Kai Kristensen, and Ghopal K. Khanji. *Fundamentals of Total Quality Management*. Routledge, 2005.

Deming, W. Edwards. *Quality, Productivity, and Competitive Position*. MIT Press, 1982.

Feigenbaum, Armand V. *Total Quality Control*, 4th ed. McGraw-Hill, 1991.

Gale, Bradley T. *Managing Customer Value: Creating Quality and Service That Customers Can See*. Free Press, 1994.

Goetsch, David L., and Stanley B. Davis. *Quality Management: Introduction to Total Quality Management for Production, Processing, and Services*, 6th ed. Prentice Hall, 2009.

Grant, Robert M., Rami Shani, and R. Krishnan. "TQM's Challenge to Management Theory and Practice." *Sloan Management Review*, Winter 1994, pp. 25–35.

Imai, Masaaki. *Kaizen: The Key to Japan's Competitive Success*. Random House, 1986.

Juran, J.M. *Juran on Quality by Design: The Next Steps for Planning Quality into Goods and Services*. Free Press, 1992.

Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award. www.nist.gov/baldrige

Walton, Mary. *The Deming Management Method*. Perigree, 1986.

Subject Index

G

Governance Roles
Groupthink
Groupware

H

Horizontal Organizations

I

Intellectual Capital Management

J

Job Descriptions
Joint Ventures

K

Key Success Factors
Knowledge Management, 32

L

Layoffs
Learning Organization
Loyalty Management Tools

M

Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award
Management by Objectives
Managing Innovation
Market Segmentation
Merger Integration Teams
Mergers and Acquisitions, 34
Mission and Vision Statements, 36
Multimedia Chat Rooms

N

Net Promoter® Scores
New Product Development

O

Offshoring
One-to-One Marketing
Online Communities
Open Innovation, 38
Open-Market Innovation

Subject Index *continued*

Author Index

Author Index *continued*

Author Index *continued*

N

Nagle, Thomas T., 43
Nambisan, Satish, 39
Nanus, Burt, 37
Narayanan, V.G., 59
Neilson, Gary, L., 27
Nitterhouse, Denise, 25
Niven, Paul R., 13
Nolan, Timothy N., 49
Nonaka, Ikujiro, 33
Nordhielm, Christie, 15
Norton, David P., 13, 55
Nyberg, Anthony J., 29

O

O'Hallaron, David, 37
O'Hallaron, Richard, 37
Owen, Richard, 47

P

Parcell, Geoff, 33
Pearl, Joshua, 35
Peppers, Don, 25
Perella, Joseph R., 35
Peterson, J. Andrew, 47
Phillips, Robert, 43
Phillips, Stephen, 41
Phillips, Tim, 47
Porras, Jerry I., 37
Porter, Michael E., 57
Postma, Theo, 49
Power, Mark J., 41
Powers, Elizabeth, 27
Prahalad, C.K., 21, 39, 57
Prusak, Laurence, 33
Puryear, Rudy, 41

Q

Quinn, Barbara, 51
Quinn, James Brian, 21, 33, 41

R

Raman, Ananth, 59
Ramaswamy, Venkat, 39
Ramirez, Rafael, 49
Randall, Robert M., 49
Raybourn, Cynthia, 15
Raynor, Michael E., 37, 39
Reichheld, Fred, 23, 47
Reider, Rob, 15
Reilly, Peter A., 51
Reinartz, Werner, 23, 47
Renzl, Birgit, 33
Reuer, Jeffrey J., 55
Rigby, Darrell K., 23, 39, 55
Ringland, Gill, 49
Roberto, Michael A., 27
Robinson, Marcia, 41
Rogers, Martha, 25
Rogers, Paul, 27
Roloff, Tom, 41
Rosenbaum, Joshua, 35
Rosenthal, Jim, 17
Rovit, Sam, 35
Rugelsjoen, Bjarne, 55
Rukstad, Michael G., 57

S

Sakkab, Nabil, 39
Sandberg, Kirsten D., 17
Sawhney, Mohanbir, 39
Schadler, Ted, 53
Schaubert, Steve, 57
Schefter, Phil, 23
Schoemaker, Paul J.H., 21, 49
Schulman, Donniel S., 51

Notes

Notes

Notes